Greener Journal of Agricultural Sciences
ISSN: 2276-7770; ICV: 6.15
Vol. 3(4), pp. 291-294, April 2013

http://gjournals.org/GJAS

Research Article

Proximate composition of nutrients in fresh adult catfishes: Chrysichthys nigrodigitatus, Heterobranchus bidorsalis and Clarias gariepinus in Yenagoa, Nigeria

Keremah R. I.* and Amakiri G.

Department of Fisheries and Livestock Production Technology, Niger Delta University, Wilberforce Island, Bayelsa State, Nigeria.

ARTICLE INFO

Article No.: *011813392*
DOI: *10.15580/GJAS.2013.4.011813392*

Submitted: *18/01/2013*
Accepted: *22/04/2013*
Published: *29/04/2013*

Corresponding Author
Keremah R. I.
E-mail: *Kreginald6@gmail.com*
Phone: *+2348055311660*

Keywords:
Proximate composition, catfishes, adult, fresh

ABSTRACT

The evaluation of nutrient composition in adult *Chrysichthys nigrodigitatus* (CN), *Clarias gariepinus* (CG) and *Heterobranchus bidorsalis* (HB) was determined on wet basis. A total of 60 samples (weight: 500g – 1kg fish) comprising 20 of each species were gutted, washed, ground and analyzed for moisture, protein, fat, ash and carbohydrate, using standard methods. Results showed the mean values for moisture, protein and fat as 72.53± 0.23 (CG) – 80.73± 0.23% (HB), 18.20± 0.13(HB) – 21.37± 0.13% (CN) and 2.13± 0.10 (CN) – 7.25 ± 0.10% (CG). The carbohydrate and ash values ranged 1.33± 0.19 (HB) – 2.55± 0.19% (CG) and 1.63± 0.09 (HB) – 3.30± 0.09% (CN). The caloric values for protein was 13.27± 0.14 (CN) – 17.22± 0.14 Kcal/100g (CG), fat showed 27.26± 0.19 (CN) – 57.31± 0.19 Kcal/100g (CG) and carbohydrate 1.17± 0.34 (HB) – 1.35± 0.34 Kcal/100g (CN). The nutrients showed significant differences at p< 0.001 between the fishes examined. These catfishes were observed to contain adequate quality animal protein, fat and ash that would provide appreciable amounts of essential nutrients such as amino acids, fatty acids, minerals and energy to support human health.

INTRODUCTION

Fish is one of the most important animal protein sources that are widely consumed by all races and classes of people (Abolude and abdullahi, 2005). It compares favorably with milk, meat, pork and poultry (James, 1984). Fish and fishery products are highly nutritious and are excellent sources of other dietary essentials like vitamins and minerals. Fish fat contains a high proportion of polyunsaturated fatty acids which may help to decrease the incidence of atherosclerosis and heart related diseases (Akande, 2011). Fish also provide an important complement to the predominantly carbohydrate based diet of many people in Nigeria (Akande, 2011). Fish in a fresh state has minimal changes in texture, taste and appearance. Processing methods usually change these properties so that the characteristics of the fish also alter according to the process used (Edun, 2012).

Catfishes are very important commercial and highly valued fishes (Olokor et al., 2011). They enjoy consumers preference (Holden and Reed, 1972; Francis, 1977), are adaptable to adverse environmental conditions, resistant to disease, accept cheap feed to thrive and have fast growth rate (Olufeagba, 1996). *Chrysichthys nigrodigitatus*, a Bagrid species which is greyish-blue in colour, is restricted to the bottom of deep water, omnivorous; consume bivalves, detritus, chironomid, crustaceans and vegetable matter (Bankole et al., 2011). This fish can be raised in both fresh and brackish water environments. *Clarias gariepinus* belongs to the family Clariidae, has a big long head and the body is 3.1 – 3.88 times the head with 52-62 rays. It is an omnivorous fish, has a high commercial value in the market especially at the commencement of dry season (Ime – Ibanga and Fakunle, 2007). *Heterobranchus bidorsalis* is of the Clariidae family. It is an elongated fish with a broad, flat, strong, granulated and depressed head. This mudfish is omnivorous with predatory tendency (Marioghae, 1991). These catfishes have smooth and scaleless body, are highly valued by consumers and keep well in both fresh and dried states. The economic importance of catfishes such as *Clarias* and *Heterobranchus* also encourage intergeneric hybridization of the species (Ezenwa, 1985).

Most of the works done on the nutritional value of *C. nigrodigitatus, C. gariepinus* and *H. bidorsalis* were centred on the smoked products. With improved handling practices and processing to extend the shelf life of fish products, it is of paramount importance that every consumer of fishery products harvested and marketed should obtain good quality protein from the fish consumed. This experiment was therefore undertaken to evaluate the nutrient compositions in the adult of these catfishes in the fresh state.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fish Sample Collection and Preparation

A total of 60 samples of fresh adult fishes comprising 20 each of *Chrysichthys nigrodigitatus* (CN), *Clarias gariepinus* (CG) and *Heterobranchus bidorsalis* (HB) with size range, 500g – 1kg were purchased from fish mongers in Yenagoa, Bayelsa State. They were identified using Keys by Holden and Reed (1972) and Fischer et al. (1981) and preserved in domestic deep freezers for later use. The fishes were prepared by removing the gills, guts, fins and viscera organs and washed thoroughly with clean water. The edible portion of each of the different fish species were blended and homogenized using mortar and pestle and a blender. Each sample was packed separately in different bottles and labeled according to the type of fish in readiness for analysis.

Proximate Analysis

Each fish sample in its fresh state was subjected to chemical analysis in triplicate using the procedures of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC), 1990. The analysis of the samples was carried out in the Laboratory of Department of Chemistry, Niger Delta University, Wilberforce Island in Bayelsa State, Nigeria. Parameters determined were protein, lipid, ash and moisture contents. The total amount of carbohydrate was obtained by difference 100% - (%moisture +%protein+%lipid/fat +%ash). The gross energy or calories was calculated for each species using factors of 5.5, 4.1 and 9.5Kcal/g for protein, carbohydrate and fat respectively (Winberg, 1971; Olatunde, 1980). This experiment was carried between March and April, 2011.

Data analysis

Date analysis was done using Analysis of variance (ANOVA) according to the statistical analysis system (Steel and Torie, 1987). Differences among sample means were tested for significance with Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan, 1955) at a level of 0.05.

RESULTS

The proximate composition of the fish samples are shown in Table 1. The protein content ranged from 18.20$\pm$ 0.13% (HB) – 21.32$\pm$ 0.13% (CN). The fat (lipid) content is highest for *Clarias gariepinus* (CG) and ranged from 2.31$\pm$ 0.10% – 7.25$\pm$ 0.10%. The ash content was between 1.63$\pm$ 0.09% (HB) and 3.30$\pm$ 0.09% (CN) while the moisture content ranged from 72.53$\pm$ 0.23% (CG) – 80.73$\pm$ 0.23% (HB). The carbohydrate was highest for CG, 2.55$\pm$ 0.19% and lowest for HB, 1.33$\pm$ 0.19%. Statistical analysis on protein, fat, ash, moisture and carbohydrate showed significant differences ($p<0.001$) in the fish samples. The gross calorific values also showed significant differences

($p < 0.001$) for protein, fat and carbohydrate (Table 2). The total caloric values were 42.14± 0.67 Kcal/100g (CN), 53.55± 0.67Kcal/100g (HB) and 75.75± 0.67Kcal/100g for CG.

DISCUSSION

The proximate composition of nutrients in all fish samples showed variation among the individual species. Afolabi (1984) reported that the variation could be due to geographical location, season of the year, feed intake, metabolic efficiency, energy expelled by the fish, sex, species of fish and size. However, the crude protein (CP) content for the 3 catfishes in this study compared favorably with values obtained by Alfred – Ockiya and Ndiomu (1998) but slightly higher than that of Nisa et al., (1995). Effiong and Tofa (2006) reported 18.60% CP for *Clarias gariepinus* which was close to the 19.67% obtained in this study. The fat content of fishes obtained in this study was lower than that reported by Olomu et al. (1981). Fat content in an animal's body is highly variable and this could affect the levels of other constituents especially water. This was evident in the observed crude fat levels by Effiong and Tofa (2006) for *C. gariepinus* (1.85%) and 9.70% for *H. longifilis* while 7.25± 0.10% and 3.43± 0.10% were obtained for similar species in this study. The percentage of fat in an animal's body normally increases with the level of food intake (Maynard et al., (1984). This reason could be responsible for the differences in fat values obtained in this study compared to the results of other workers. Murray and Burt (1977) however attributed low lipid content in fishes to migration and span due to low feeding ability in fishes at such periods.

Carbohydrates occur in very small amounts in an animal's body in the form of glycogen (Maynard et al., 1984). Hence the low values obtained in this study could be attributed to this reason. Values of carbohydrate in this experiment were found to be lower than those of Olatunde (1980). The moisture values for *C. nigrodigitatus*, *C. gariepinus* and *H. bidorsalis* obtained were similar to those reported for finfishes by Clement and Lovell (1994). The 72.53-80.73% moisture content for the catfishes in this study were similar to the 75.80-80.83% reported by Effiong and Tofa (2006) for *C. gariepinus*, *H. longifilis* and their hybrid, 'Heteroclarias'. Food intake and amount of fat in the body of an animal are said to influence moisture level (Maynard et al., 1984). The calorific values could be attributed to high fat content observed for the test fishes in this study. These values were lower than those of Alfred-Ockiya and Ndiomu (1998).

Table 1: Proximate nutrient composition (%) of *C. nigrodigitatus*, *C. gariepinus* and *H. bidorsalis* (on wet basis)

Species	Component (%)				
	Moisture	Protein	Fat	Carbohydrate	Ash
C. nigrodigitatus	79.53±0.23^{a}	21.37±0.13^{a}	2.13±0.10^{c}	2.21±0.19^{a}	3.30±0.09^{a}
C. gariepinus	80.73±0.23^{a}	18.20±0.13^{c}	3.43±0.10^{b}	1.33±0.19^{b}	1.63±0.09^{c}
H. bidorsalis	72.53±0.23^{b}	19.67±0.13^{b}	7.25±0.10^{a}	2.55±0.19^{a}	2.50±0.09^{b}

Means with same superscript for a given parameter in the same vertical row are not significantly different (P> 0.001).

Table 2: Gross calorific values (Kcal/100g) of fresh adult *C.nigrodigitatus*, *C.gariepinus* and *H.bidorsalis* (on wet basis).

Species	Component (Kcal/100g)			
	Protein	Fat	Carbohydrate	Total
C. nigrodigitatus	13.27±0.14^{c}	27.52±0.19^{c}	1.35±0.34^{b}	42.14±0.67^{c}
C. gariepinus	17.22±0.14^{a}	57.31±0.19^{a}	1.22±0.34^{b}	75.75±0.67^{a}
H. bidorsalis	15.85±0.14^{b}	36.31±0.19^{b}	1.17±0.34^{b}	53.55±0.67^{b}

Means with same superscripts for a given parameter in the same vertical row are not significantly different (P> 0.001).

CONCLUSION

This study revealed the importance of *C. nigrodigitatus*, *C.gariepinus* and *H.bidorsalis* (catfishes) as good sources of protein and other nutrients. They have high calorific values and are rich in fat, hence are excellent reservoir of fat soluble vitamins. The percentage ash content in these fishes is an indication that they are good sources of mineral when consumed in our diet. The nutritional information so obtained in this study would probably enable consumers to know the benefits derivable from these catfishes.

REFERENCES

Abolude D S, Abdullahi S A (2005). Proximate and mineral contents in component parts of Clarias gariepinus and Synodontis schall from Zaria, Nigeria. Nigerian Food Journal 23:1- 8.

Afolabi O A (1984). Quality changes of Nigeria traditionally processed fresh water species. Nutrition and Organoleptic changes. J. Food Tech. I. 19:333-340.

Akande GR (2011). Fish Processing Technology in Nigeria: Challenges and Prospects. In: Aiyeloja, A.A and Ijeomah, H.M. (Eds.). Book of Reading in Forestry, Wildlife Management and Fisheries. Topbase Nigeria Ltd. New Oko Oba, Lagos, pp. 772-808.

Alfred-Ockiya JF, Ndiomu F O (1998). Biochemical Proximate composition of some selected finfishes in the Niger Delta, Nigeria . Global J. Pure and Applied Sci. Vol 4 (3): 199-202.

AOAC (1990). Official Methods of Analysis. 15th Edn., K. Holdrick (Ed.). Association of Official and Analytical Chemists, Virginia, U.S.A, pp. 125-291.

Bankole N O, Yem I Yand Olowosegun O M (2011). Fish Resources of Lake Kainji, Nigeria. In: Raji A Okaeme N. and Ibeun MO (Eds.). Forty Years on Lake Kainji Fisheries Research, NIFFR, New Bussa, Nigeria, pp. 20-42.

Clement S, Lovell R T (1994). The comparison of processing yield and nutrient composition of cultured Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) and channel catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*). Aquaculture 119:299-310.

Duncan D B (1955). Multiple Ranges and Multiple F-test. Biometrics 11:1-42.

Edun O M (2012). Fish Harvesting, Processing and Preservation Technique. In: Ansa, EJ, Uzukwu PU, Opara JY and Akinrotimi O.A. (Eds.). Training Manual in Aquaculture. ARAC/NIOMR, Aluu-Port-Harcourt, pp. 100-106.

Effiong B N, Tofa J L (2006). Proximate composition of nutrients in Adult *Clarias gariepinus, Heterobranches longifilis* and their hybrid (*Heteroclarias*) In: Ansa EJ, Anyanwu P E, Ayonoadu BW, Erondu ES and Deekae SN(Eds.). Proc. Of 20th Annual Conf. of FISON, Port-Harcourt, 14th-18th Nov., 2005. pp.550-553.

Ezenwa B (1985). Culturable fish seed in Nigerian Water, a research note on Swamp Fisheries Management. Min. of Agric. Kaduna, p.17.

Fischer W, Bianchi G, Scott W B (1981). FAO special distribution sheets for fishery purposes. Eastern Central Atlantic fishing area 34, 47 (in part), Vol.111: pag. Var.

Francis A (1977). Storage potential and utilization of Tilapia Mince. In: Proc. 13th Annual Conf., Fisheries Society, pp. 135-143.

Holden M, Reed W (1972). West African Freshwater Fish. Longman Group Ltd., London, p. 68.

Ime-Ibanga U, Fakunle J O (2007). Proximate composition and amino acids profile of smoked catfish *Clarias gariepinus* and Tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus*. In : Araoye PA, Adikwu IA and BankeROK. (Eds.). Proc. of 22nd Annual Conf. of Fisheries Soc. of Nigeria, Kebbi, Nov.12-16, 2007, pp. 91-95.

James O (1984). The Production and Storage of Dried Fish. In: FAO Fisheries Report (ITALY), No.279. Supplementary.

Marioghae I E (1991). Cultivable fish. In: Ayinla O A (Ed.). Proc. of fish seed propagation course, ARAC/NIOMR, Aluu-Port-Harcourt, pp.3-10.

Maynard LA, Loosli JK, Hintz HF, Warner RG (1984). Animal nutrition, 7th Edn. Mc-Graw-Hill Book Company. New York. p.602.

Murray J and Burt J R (1977). The composition of fish. Ministry of Agric., Fisheries and Food, Torry Research Station, Advisory Notes, No.38, pp. 13.

Nisa K, Quadri F, Khan RB and Naeem S (1995). Proximate composition and micro and macronutrients and finfishes and shellfishes from Pakistan Coastal area. Trop.Sci. 35:156-160.

Olatunde A A (1980). The biochemical composition and nutritive value of *Eutropius niloticus, Schilbe mystus* and *Physailia pellucid*, Family Schilbetidae (Osteichthyes Siluriforms) from Lake Kainji, Nigeria. Arch. Hydrobiol. 88:500-504.

Olokor JO, Ihuahi JA, Omojowo FS, Ugoala ER, Ngwu EO and Adelowo EO (2011). Fish Handling, Processing and Preservation in Kainji Lake Area of Nigeria. In: Raji A., Okaeme A.N. and Ibeun M.O.(Eds.). Forty Years of Lake Kainji Fisheries Research. National Institute for Freshwater Fisheries Research (NIFFR), New Bussa, Nigeria, pp.71-103.

Olomu JM, Sxmulikowska S and Bello SA (1981). The gross chemical and amino acid composition of some marine products. National Conference on Agriculture, 3-8 May, 1981, pp. 246-289.

Olufeagba SO (1996). Embryogenesis of Heterobranchus longifilis (Lourier and Valenciennes 1840). Paper presented at the Fisheries Society of Nigeria Conference.

Steel RG and Torie JA (1987). Principles and Procedures of Statistics. A Biochemical Approach. 2nd Edn. Mc Graw-Hill International, Auckland, pp. 5-102.

Winberg GG (1971). Symbols, units and conversion factors in studies of fresh water Productivity, pp. 134-178.

Cite this Article: Keremah RI and Amakiri G (2013). Proximate composition of nutrients in fresh adult catfishes: Chrysichthys nigrodigitatus, Heterobranchus bidorsalis and Clarias gariepinus in Yenagoa, Nigeria**.** Greener Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 3(4): 291-294, http://doi.org/10.15580/GJAS.2013.4.011813392**.**